

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,058	02/08/2007	Rajesh Jain	U 015962-1	4104	
140 LADAS & PAI	7590 09/22/201 RRY LLP	0	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61S	Γ STREET		DICKINSON, PAUL W		
NEW YORK,	NY 10023		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/22/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551,058 JAIN ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	PAUL DICKINSON	1618						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ddress					
Period for Reply	out of the core, check with the	on coponacion at	14.000					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In one verth, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried from the maximum. See 37 CFR 1.74(6).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Fe	ebruary 2007.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 33-52 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>33-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) 33-52 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	enem Addinguish						

Application/Control Number: 10/551,058

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted:

Group I, claims 33-47, drawn to a rapidly disintegrating oral controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising at least one active ingredient, and a polymer4 system comprising at least two polymers.

Group II, claims 48-52, drawn to a process for preparing a rapidly disintegrating oral controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising at least one active ingredient, and a polymer4 system comprising at least two polymers.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature of the above groups is the rapidly disintegrating oral controlled release pharmaceutical composition of claim 33. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because it is not novel. Lorenzo-Lamosa et al (Journal of Controlled Release, 1998) discloses a peroral pharmaceutical composition (a rapidly disintegrating oral controlled release pharmaceutical

Application/Control Number: 10/551,058

Art Unit: 1618

composition) with colonic drug delivery. The system consists of chitosan microcores (bioadhesive polymer) entrapped within acrylic microspheres made of Eudragit L-100 or Eudragit S-100 (acid insoluble polymers). Sodium diclofenac is used as a model drug (abstract). No drug is observe in a medium with pH<7 whereas the drug is released continuously at pH = 7.4 (Figure 4). The composition of Lorenzo-Lamosa et al reads on and anticipates the composition of claim 1.

Since the inventions do not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art they do not have a single general inventive concept and so lack unity of invention.

Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product

Application/Control Number: 10/551,058

Art Unit: 1618

are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Inventorship Notice

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul W. Dickinson whose telephone number is 571-270-3499. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on 217-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,058 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618 Paul W. Dickinson Examiner Art Unit 1618

September 15, 2010